

AN AI VISIBILITY REPORT • PREPARED BY OOMIRA

How AI sees Oomira

What assistants can reach about this company, what they say when asked, and what it would take to change either.

REACHABILITY

84%

Reachable

21 of 25 checks passing.


AI NPS

0

GOOD

Two of four recommend you outright, two attach a caution.

HOW MUCH OF YOU A MACHINE CAN REACH



Stripe is a best-in-class reference, not your industry. Industry benchmark: coming soon (run any competitor at oomira.com).

What this report stands on: **224** sourced facts • **38** sources cited • **16** sites read • **10** people • **27** companies • **51** dated moments

01 Executive summary

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY · GROUNDED IN THIS LIVE RUN

Assistants like the idea, doubt the proof, and Perplexity read scam pages

THIS RUN 84% Good 21 of 25 checks working	HOW CLOSE YOU ARE Three fixes take this score to 96%, past best-in-class Stripe (78%). Which three, and what each is worth, is in the full report.	WHAT WE MEASURED Two measurements: how much of you a machine can reach, and what the reachable facts add up to when a buyer asks today.
---	---	---

STATE OF THE WORLD

4 ANSWERS READ

Oomira sells AI-visibility reports, and all four assistants describe it correctly. Three of four stop short of a recommendation because they see no customer evidence beyond the site and founder posts.

REACHABILITY

21/25 WORKING

Strong: an anonymous fetch of oomira.com returns real prose, three of three inner pages too, robots.txt lets every answer-time agent and training crawler read the site, and 6,019 pages are listed.

CONVERSION SIGNAL

3 OF 4 CAVEATED

A mid-market buyer meets praise for the concept and then a caution about adoption, so they scan rather than book: 3 of 4 answers hedge, and none names a customer or result.

But the pivot toward AI-visibility/pitch diligence suggests the original vision was hard to sell.

CLAUDE · VERBATIM

WHAT STANDS OUT

- Perplexity assembled its answer partly from scam-check and Trustpilot pages for lookalike domains (oimira.com, omirad.com, omira.fr), so a buyer verifying you can land on a fraud question you never raised.
- Claude reports early \$50K engagements and calls delivery consulting-heavy, work we could not verify from the public sources this run read, and a buyer priced by it will not ask about the \$29 report.
- You sell AI visibility, and your own record shows ChatGPT at 6 and Perplexity at 5 before 12 August, so a buyer treats your published +50 as unaudited until you show the before and after.

WHAT TO DO NEXT

1. Publish a dated named-customer result page: which company, what its assistant answers said before, what changed after, and the fixes that did it.
2. Add the two orphaned /answers pages to your sitemap so assistants can retrieve your scoring method when they question your sample.
3. Publish the canonical name-and-domain line, Oomira Holdings Inc. at oomira.com, on a page listing the lookalike domains that are not you, so assistants distinguish them.

Then rerun: Ship the three moves above, then re-ask the same assistants and check whether Perplexity still reaches lookalike scam-check pages and whether any answer now names a customer and a result.

02 What four assistants said

Is what it says now more likely to win the deal or lose it?

Three of the four attached a warning to the answer.

Good MORE RECOMMEND THAN WARN, BUT A CAVEAT IS REACHING BUYERS.

Read from the four answers themselves. Three of the four raised seven reservations between them. Those cautions are what set it.

Each of these is the answer verbatim, exactly as the assistant gave it after its own search. Nothing is trimmed to make a point.

HOW TO READ THE MARKS

A passage marked like this is where an assistant warned a buyer off. The number ties it to the reading it was counted from, below. Nothing inside a mark is edited: the marks are drawn around the assistants' own words, whole.

CLAUDE COSTS CONVERSION

Oomira is Katherine Homuth's post-SRTX venture. Launched in 2025, it began as a "queryable" archive of every decision a company has made, capturing organizational memory: decisions, timelines, people, relationships. The site now reads more focused: one URL starts research, checking the public sources an investor or AI would use, keeping every fact with source and date, producing investor-readiness reports.

My take: the "corporate amnesia" problem is real, and provenance-first records are a genuinely good idea. But the pivot toward AI-visibility/pitch diligence suggests the original vision was hard to sell.¹ Early \$50K engagements signal consulting-heavy delivery. Interesting founder, unproven category, worth watching, not betting on.²

WHAT CLAUDE LOOKED AT

SEARCHED Oomira oomira.com
OPENED oomira.com (homepage) · betakit.com/katherine-homuth-launches-organizational-memory-startup-as-srtx-secures-new-interim-ceo-and-financing/... (1 levels deep) · kathomuth.substack.com/p/whats-next-oomira-your-memory-turned · +1 more

CHATGPT **SPEAKS WELL OF YOU**

Oomira is a thoughtful, unusually transparent take on AI visibility: it tests what major assistants say about a company, preserves prompts and browsing trails, and turns vague “GEO” advice into concrete website fixes. The free scan and \$29 report create a low-friction way to evaluate it. Its main limitation is methodological: four model responses are a small, volatile sample, and a proprietary scoring model can oversimplify buyer perception.³ Still, Oomira seems strongest as a diagnostic tool, not as proof of brand reputation or revenue impact.⁴ I’d try the free scan, inspect the raw answers, and judge their actionability carefully. (oomira.com)

WHAT CHATGPT LOOKED AT

OPENED oomira.com (homepage)

PERPLEXITY **COSTS CONVERSION**

Oomira looks like an early-stage, ambitious startup focused on “organizational memory” and AI visibility: it says it helps companies preserve verifiable, sourced facts and assess how AI systems describe them.^{[1][8][10]} The product positioning is interesting and unusually concrete, but it also appears very new, with limited public evidence of adoption beyond its own site and founder posts.⁵^{[4][5][12][13]} The pricing and service model seem premium and enterprise-oriented, which could be compelling if it works, but also raises the bar for trust, accuracy, and execution.⁶^{[12][13]} Overall: promising concept, but still unproven.⁷^{[1][8][12][13]}

WHAT PERPLEXITY LOOKED AT

OPENED oomira.com (homepage) · scamadviser.com/check-website/oimira.com · scamadviser.com/check-website/omiralis-labs.com · +17 more

GEMINI **SPEAKS WELL OF YOU**

Oomira, founded by Katherine Homuth, tackles a highly relevant problem: managing corporate reputation in the age of generative AI. By scanning how assistants like ChatGPT, Claude, and Perplexity respond to queries about a brand, Oomira provides verbatim AI answers, highlighting inaccuracies and missing context. This concept of an AI visibility report is brilliant. As buyers increasingly rely on AI instead of traditional search, controlling the narrative within these models is absolutely crucial. The startup's ambitious goal of building a memory engine to carefully structure verified company facts offers a highly proactive approach to brand identity management.

WHAT GEMINI LOOKED AT


SEARCHED "Oomira" "oomira.com"

OPENED 2 pages behind Google's grounding redirector, which does not name them. What it read is real; the address it hands back is not the page.

The recommendation these answers add up to

Claude	5	DETRACTOR	"Interesting founder, unproven category—worth watching, not betting on."
ChatGPT	9	PROMOTER	"I'd try the free scan, inspect the raw answers, and judge their actionability carefully."
Perplexity	5	DETRACTOR	"Overall: **promising concept, but still unproven**."
Gemini	9	PROMOTER	"This concept of an AI visibility report is brilliant."

Two promoters minus two detractors, over four scored = 0 AI NPS



If these were customer survey responses rather than AI answers, this is the Net Promoter Score they would add up to: 9–10 recommends with no caveat, 7–8 describes and stops, 0–6 puts a warning in front of the buyer. Each score was set by a model that read all of them, and the sentence that set it is quoted beside it.

Where a buyer hesitates

Assistants call the concept strong but the adoption evidence thin, the four-answer sample small, and the category unproven, so they suggest watching rather than buying. Three of the four raised seven reservations between them. Each is highlighted in the answers above, in their own words.

What each of those reservations is actually asking for is set out in "What nobody but you says", later in this report.

That warning is what an assistant writes when it goes looking for somebody other than you to back up what you say, and finds nobody.

The proof a buyer looks for

A buyer checks a few specific things before they commit, and this is the list. Anything you publish about yourself they have to take on trust. One named example, with a date, they can go and open for themselves.

A named customer a customer willing to say publicly that you did the work 3 are on the record and only you say them, no third party attests to any, which is what an assistant discounts.

WHAT TO DO

Get two clients on the record by name, with what changed and when, on a page a machine can read. A named person saying it outranks anything you write about yourself.

03 How much of this you can change

Can a machine get to the facts you want a buyer to see?

None of the answers earlier in this report was recalled from memory. Each one was assembled while the assistant answered, out of whatever it could reach at that moment. Three things decide what comes out and a company controls all three: whether an assistant can reach your pages, whether it gets readable prose when it asks for one, and what those pages say once it has them. That is what these checks measure, one channel at a time. So a failing check is not a technical fault. It is a channel you are not supplying, and the answer gets written without it. They search at ask time, so the next person to ask starts from whatever is published then, and nothing waits for a model to be retrained.

Three of the answers we can trace rest partly or wholly on pages you publish, so your own edits reach them. A machine asking for your homepage does get readable prose back, so an assistant that skipped your pages chose other ones rather than being turned away.

Whose pages each answer rested on, and what would move it

Each row starts from the clause that set that answer's score, then names the pages that answer rested on, because an objection built from your own pages is retired by publishing and one built from somebody else's is not. 2 rest partly or wholly on pages you publish, so your own edits reach them.

Claude 5

PARTLY YOURS

1 search · 4 pages opened, 2 on your site

"Interesting founder, unproven category—worth watching, not betting on."

It read your pages (oomira.com) and betakit.com and kathomuth.substack.com. The half you control moves by publishing. A dated founder note saying in your own words what changed and why buyers pulled you here, with the traction that followed: 134 reports across 82 companies in the week of 8-14 August 2026. Place it: kathomuth.substack.com, which machines can read, cross-linked from oomira.com. The rest moves only when your own page is the better answer to the same question, or when the claim is corrected where it lives.

Perplexity 5

PARTLY YOURS

20 pages opened, 10 on your site

*"Overall: **promising concept, but still unproven**."*

It read your pages (oomira.com) and scamadviser.com, linkedin.com and 4 more. The half you control moves by publishing. A dated case page per report: the client named with permission, their assistant answers before the work, the specific site changes made, and the answers after. Place it: On oomira.com, linked from the homepage and listed in the sitemap alongside your Stripe sample. The rest moves only when your own page is the better answer to the same question, or when the claim is corrected where it lives.

ChatGPT 9

YOURS TO CHANGE

1 page opened, 1 on your site

“I’d try the free scan, inspect the raw answers, and judge their actionability carefully.”

This one already recommends you. The pages it read are yours (oomira.com), so the work is keeping them current rather than adding to them.

Gemini 9

CANNOT TELL

2 pages behind a redirector

“This concept of an AI visibility report is brilliant.”

This one already recommends you, and it did so without opening a page of yours. Nothing here needs changing; the risk is that what it read elsewhere goes stale.

The next section checks both, and says what to change.

04 What the 25 checks found

Can a machine get to the facts you want a buyer to see?

THE AUDIT MAP

Every square is one check we ran today. The audit below writes every check out: what we checked, what came back, the evidence, and the next change where one is needed.

21/25
CHECKS
WORKING

WORKING PARTIAL · COUNTS HALF NOT WORKING WARNING · UNSCORED

ROUTE	CHECKS · ONE SQUARE EACH	SCORE
-------	--------------------------	-------

ON PAGES YOU PUBLISH18 of 19 working

1	Search about you top results, asked like a buyer		1/1
2	Your site to machines what a program receives from your pages		9/9
3	The files AI agents look for how agents discover and call you		6/6
4	Social and content surfaces profiles and content surfaces machines can read		2/3

ON PAGES SOMEBODY ELSE OWNS3 of 6 working

5	Who else carries you press and independent proof		2/4
6	Knowledge graph Wikidata, Wikipedia and entity records		1/2

THE FULL AUDIT		EVERY CHECK, WRITTEN OUT
CHECK	RESULT & EVIDENCE	NEXT CHANGE
ROUTE 1 Search about you		1/1
Where these queries came from WATCHLIST · UNSCORED	Nobody typed these searches on your behalf: a live AI assistant, asked about Oomira, chose every query below itself, in the order shown. When a search returns pages that are not you, its next query is built from whatever it did find, your own pages included. How it has to hunt for you is part of the reading.	NO EXACT CHANGE PRESCRIBED

Searched the way somebody asking about you would ■ WORKING	The assistant chose to run one search (“Oomira oomira.com”) and read 9 results. 9 are about Oomira, 6 on a surface you own. The search: “Oomira oomira.com”, 9 of 9 about Oomira. 1. about you · rocketreach.co 2. your page · kathomuth.substack.com 3. about you · news on startupecosystem.ca 4. your page · p on kathomuth.substack.com 5. your page · login on oomira.com 6. your page · oomira.com 7. your page · p on kathomuth.substack.com 8. about you · betakit.com 9. your page · oomira on linkedin.com	HOLDING · NO CHANGE NEEDED
ROUTE 2 Your site to machines ■■■■■■■■■■ 9/9		
Fetchable as prose ■ WORKING Whether a program that visits your site gets your actual words back. Your browser runs JavaScript to build the page; most machines do not. If the words only appear after that code runs, a machine sees an empty page where you see a homepage.	An anonymous fetch of oomira.com, no browser and no JavaScript, comes back as real prose.	HOLDING · NO CHANGE NEEDED
Inner pages readable ■ WORKING The same question, asked of the pages that carry your claims rather than the homepage. Marketing homepages are usually built to be readable; blogs, case studies and docs often are not.	3 of 3 inner pages sampled from oomira.com return real prose to an anonymous fetch, not just the homepage. /docs /w/ycombinator/insight /answers	HOLDING · NO CHANGE NEEDED
What an AI agent actually receives ■ WORKING What your server does when the specific programs behind ChatGPT and Claude come to read a page. They identify themselves by name, and a lot of security tooling turns them away without anyone deciding to. You cannot see this from a browser, because your browser is not one of them.	oomira.com was fetched as ChatGPT-User and served normally (HTTP 200), the same as a browser got at the same moment.	HOLDING · NO CHANGE NEEDED

robots.txt to answer-time agents ■ WORKING robots.txt is a plain text file at the root of your site that tells automated visitors what they may read. Answer-time agents are the ones that fetch a page at the moment someone asks an assistant about you, as opposed to the ones that collect text to train future models. This asks whether that file lets the first group in.	oomira.com/robots.txt admits all 6 answer-time agents checked, the ones that fetch pages when someone asks a model about Oomira today.	HOLDING · NO CHANGE NEEDED
robots.txt to training crawlers ■ WORKING	oomira.com/robots.txt blocks none of the 5 training crawlers checked, so oomira.com's pages can enter future model weights.	HOLDING · NO CHANGE NEEDED
How much of the site agents may read □ WARNING · UNSCORED The same file, read for what it closes rather than whether it opens. It can admit a visitor and then place whole sections off-limits, which is easy to do by accident and impossible to notice.	robots.txt closes 2 paths to the answer-time agents, /api/, /directory-demo, and not one of them carries a claim about Oomira: they are endpoints, fixtures and health probes. Nothing to fix, and not counted against you. The whole rule is below so you can check that reading. /api/ /directory-demo	NO EXACT CHANGE PRESCRIBED
schema.org identity markup ■ WORKING A small block of invisible code in your page that spells out, in a format every machine already agrees on, "this page is about a company, its name is X, its website is Y, its founders are Z". Your page says all that in words a person can read; this says it in a way a machine cannot misread. It is roughly fifteen lines and it is what stops a search engine or a model attaching your page to the wrong company.	The oomira.com homepage carries schema.org markup naming the entity, and so do all 3 inner pages sampled.	HOLDING · NO CHANGE NEEDED
Dated claims ■ WORKING Whether the numbers on your site say when they were true. "We serve 40 clients" with no date is a sentence a model will either repeat forever or hedge around; "40 clients as of March 2026" is something it can quote.	3 claims on oomira.com carry a date the site itself printed. incorporation date: 2025-06-03 privacy policy last updated: 2026-07 traction summary: 134 reports delivered across 82 companies scanned in one week (August 8-14, 2026), with 518 assistant answers scored an...	HOLDING · NO CHANGE NEEDED

Pages that answer a buyer question ■ WORKING A page per question a buyer actually asks, where the page is the answer itself: the actual number, the actual argument, dated, in prose. Not the SEO version: a search engine ranks pages built to target a question; an assistant lifts the sentences that answer it. Write it the way you would answer the buyer in an email.	2 on oomira.com: /answers, /answers/who-is-behind-oomira. 2 more answers exist and cannot be discovered, /answers/what-we-changed-to-move-our-own-ai-nps, /answers/how-oomira-scores-a-company are in no sitemap, so only somebody who already has the URL can read them.	HOLDING · NO CHANGE NEEDED
Sitemap ■ WORKING A list of every page on your site, at a fixed address, so a machine can find pages you have not linked from the homepage. Most site builders generate it automatically.	6,020 oomira.com pages are listed, so an agent can discover what else Oomira publishes.	HOLDING · NO CHANGE NEEDED
ROUTE 3 The files AI agents look for ■■■■■■■■ 6/6		
A declared way in for agents ■ WORKING A small file at a fixed address that tells a program arriving at your domain who you are and what it can do with you, rather than making it guess from your marketing copy. It is a static file you write once.	Live on oomira.com: Agent card at /well-known/agent-card.json. Someone asks an assistant "what is this company?". With this file it reads YOUR one-paragraph answer and repeats it. Without it, it writes its own from your homepage copy, or from whoever wrote the comparison page you lost to.	HOLDING · NO CHANGE NEEDED
A catalogue of what you expose ■ WORKING	Live on oomira.com: API catalogue at /well-known/api-catalog. A developer tells their coding agent "add this company to our app". With this file the agent finds your real endpoints and writes code that works. Without it, it invents plausible URLs from your prose and ships something that 404s, and the developer blames you, not the agent.	HOLDING · NO CHANGE NEEDED
A live tool endpoint an agent can call ■ WORKING	Live on oomira.com: MCP server card at /well-known/mcp/server-card.json, MCP manifest at /well-known/mcp.json, MCP endpoint at /mcp. A customer asks their assistant to actually USE your product, pull their data, run the thing, get an answer. With this the assistant connects and does it. Without it, the best it can offer is "you could go to their website".	HOLDING · NO CHANGE NEEDED

A plain-text version of every page ■ WORKING Whether your pages can be served as plain text instead of a designed web page. When someone pastes your page into an assistant, they paste the whole thing: navigation, cookie banner, footer. A plain-text version means the argument arrives instead of the furniture.	oomira.com serves a clean markdown representation (Accept: text/markdown), so anything pasted into an assistant arrives as text rather than as page furniture. Checked on the homepage and 3 inner pages.	HOLDING · NO CHANGE NEEDED
A copy-for-AI button on the page ■ WORKING The human half of the row above: a button that copies the page in that clean plain-text format, so a reader can give the page to their assistant without thinking about it. The format is what the machine consumes; the button is how a person finds out it exists.	Open in ChatGPT, Copy as Markdown, Open in Claude, View as Markdown on oomira.com, the page tells a reader how to give it to an assistant.	HOLDING · NO CHANGE NEEDED
A feed a machine can follow ■ WORKING RSS: a plain list of what you have published, in date order, that anything can subscribe to. It is how a machine learns you posted something without checking your site every day.	RSS/Atom is live on oomira.com, so anything watching Oomira sees a new post without being told.	HOLDING · NO CHANGE NEEDED
llms.txt □ WARNING · UNSCORED A proposed file that would tell AI systems how to read your site. Included for completeness and deliberately not scored: no major AI company reads it today.	Live at oomira.com/llms.txt, though there is no llms-full.txt beside it, the index exists, the content it indexes does not. Worth knowing: no major AI provider reads this file today, so this is a bet on where things are going rather than a channel that is working now. It is not counted for or against you.	NO EXACT CHANGE PRESCRIBED
Content Signals □ WARNING · UNSCORED	oomira.com/robots.txt declares `search=yes, ai-input=yes, ai-train=no`, a stated position on how your content may be used for training, search and as model input. Not scored: it is a stance, and a stance is never a defect.	NO EXACT CHANGE PRESCRIBED

Standards we did not score ☐ WATCHLIST · UNSCORED	Other scanners will mark you down for DNS-AID records, WebMCP, Web Bot Auth and x402. Two of those cannot be judged from outside, WebMCP needs your page's JavaScript to run, and Web Bot Auth is about what your server does with a signed request nobody has sent it. The rest are drafts with almost no adoption, and grading a founder on our forecast of which draft wins is not a number worth having. They are listed so you know they were considered, not skipped.	NO EXACT CHANGE PRESCRIBED
ROUTE 4 Who else carries you 2/4		
Press ☒ WORKING Coverage of you by somebody else, on a site a machine can read. It counts for more than anything you publish about yourself, because it is not you saying it.	9 pieces about Oomira across 4 outlets: betakit.com, thelogic.co, saasnorth.com +1 more betakit.com, 35 facts saasnorth.com, 31 facts femalefunders.com, 11 facts thelogic.co, 9 facts	HOLDING · NO CHANGE NEEDED
Press releases ☐ NOT WORKING	Not one of the 16 hosts this run reached is a wire service, so no dated announcement from Oomira has been ingested verbatim by the databases that read the wires.	Put one dated announcement on a wire: a raise, a launch, a named customer. It is the one third-party channel you can fire on a day you choose.
Owned media ☒ WORKING A place you publish that you control and a machine can read: a blog on your own domain, a newsletter with a public archive. LinkedIn does not count, because nothing can read it.	kathomuth.substack.com	HOLDING · NO CHANGE NEEDED
Community mentions ☐ NOT WORKING People discussing you in public places machines read heavily, like Reddit or Hacker News. Earned slowly and never planted.	Nothing for Oomira on Hacker News or Reddit, asked directly, and no community thread on Oomira's record.	Answer questions in your niche's communities under your own name. Slow and real. Never plant mentions.

ROUTE 5			1/2
Knowledge graph			
Wikidata entity WORKING Wikidata is a free, public database of things and facts about them, and it is the table models look at to decide which company of your name you are. An entry is a short structured record: name, website, founding date, who runs it. You can create one yourself in an afternoon and it is the single strongest defence against another company with a similar name collecting the answers meant for you. It is NOT Wikipedia, which is an article with a fame test attached.	An entity matches Oomira. Queried directly against the Wikidata API, the machine-readable registry models resolve names through, and your defence against a namesake collecting your answers.	HOLDING · NO CHANGE NEEDED	
Wikipedia article NOT WORKING The encyclopedia article, which is a different thing from Wikidata above. It has a notability bar you have to earn through press coverage first, and one created too early gets deleted, publicly. Not having one is normal and is not really a failing.	No article matches Oomira, queried directly against the Wikipedia search API. Wikipedia has a notability bar earned through coverage; absence is normal before that, and a premature article gets deleted.	The route runs through the press channel: coverage first, article second. Never create the article before the coverage exists, the deletion log reads worse than absence.	
ROUTE 6			2/3
Social and content surfaces			
LinkedIn WARNING · UNSCORED	linkedin.com/in/katherinehomuth · linked from oomira.com, so it is Oomira's · unreadable to machines: even held, it is a wall to machines, only search snippets leak out, so an AI cannot read what you publish there. Not counted against you: the wall is LinkedIn's, not yours. FOUND	SUGGESTION Mirror anything that matters there onto oomira.com or a surface an agent can read.	
X WARNING · UNSCORED	x.com/katherinehomuth · linked from oomira.com, so it is Oomira's · partly readable: readable by humans and paid APIs only; a raw fetch gets a wall, search snippets quote it. Research checks it via the X API. Not counted against you: what a machine gets from X is X's limit, not yours. FOUND	SUGGESTION Post the claims that count with their numbers and dates, and mirror them onto oomira.com, the API and search snippets are the only machine routes in.	

YouTube ☐ WARNING · UNSCORED	Nothing for Oomira on your record, nothing linked from oomira.com, and nothing in this run's searches. Not counted for or against you: video is not machine-readable, so a channel you never made holds nothing an AI could have read. NOT FOUND	NO EXACT CHANGE PRESCRIBED
GitHub ☐ NOT WORKING	Nothing for Oomira on your record, nothing linked from oomira.com, and nothing in this run's searches. This one is counted, and you do not have it: your own record says you ship software, and a public repo is one of the few surfaces an AI can fetch and quote in full. NOT FOUND	Open a public repository with a real README: what you build, what it does, how it is used. Your record says you ship software, and a public repo is one of the few surfaces an AI can fetch and quote in full, machine-readable proof of how you build.
Instagram ☐ WARNING · UNSCORED	Nothing for Oomira on your record, nothing linked from oomira.com, and nothing in this run's searches. Not counted for or against you: nothing gets past the login to a machine, so an account you do not have costs you no reachability. NOT FOUND	NO EXACT CHANGE PRESCRIBED
Facebook ☐ WARNING · UNSCORED	Nothing for Oomira on your record, nothing linked from oomira.com, and nothing in this run's searches. Not counted for or against you: nothing gets past the login to a machine, so an account you do not have costs you no reachability. NOT FOUND	NO EXACT CHANGE PRESCRIBED
TikTok ☐ WARNING · UNSCORED	Nothing for Oomira on your record, nothing linked from oomira.com, and nothing in this run's searches. Not counted for or against you: it is a wall to machines and the content is video besides, so an account you do not have costs you no reachability. NOT FOUND	NO EXACT CHANGE PRESCRIBED
Your blog ☒ WORKING	kathomuth.substack.com · linked from oomira.com, so it is Oomira's · machine-readable: machine-readable, the cheapest citable surface you own; a dated post is a citation you can create today. FOUND	HOLDING · NO CHANGE NEEDED

Your podcast ⚠ WARNING · UNSCORED	Nothing for Oomira on your record, nothing linked from oomira.com, and nothing in this run's searches. Not counted for or against you: audio is not machine-readable, so a show you never recorded is not reach you are losing. NOT FOUND	NO EXACT CHANGE PRESCRIBED
Mirrored where a machine can read it ■ WORKING	LinkedIn, X are walled to machines, and Oomira also publishes somewhere one can read: Your blog, writing on oomira.com. That is the surface an AI quotes Oomira from.	HOLDING · NO CHANGE NEEDED

PROMPTS, READY TO PASTE

A PDF cannot hand a block of text to an assistant, so the same report is published as plain text with every prompt this run produced in a fenced block, ready to paste: [this report as plain text on oomira.com](#).

AFTER THIS REPORT

This report is the first use of your record. Connect it to your AI and the next deck, diligence answer, update, or research task starts from these same sourced, dated facts.

[Connect your AI – oomira.com/mcp](#)